### 14 Language Contact and Language Generation: Pidgins and Creoles

# JOHN R. RICKFORD and JOHN McWHORTER

### 1 Introduction

unaffected by the acquisition of native speakers (Sankoff, 1979; Samarin, the Central African Republic) are already quite complex, and seem relatively primary language of their speakers (e.g., Tok Pisin in New Guinea, Sango in ces than pidgins. However, some extended pidgins which serve as the typically have a larger vocabulary and more complicated grammatical resourtheir first language. In keeping with their extended social role, creoles usually, the descendants of pidgin speakers who grow up using the pidgin as classical sense of Hall (1966), is a pidgin that has acquired native speakers, phonological and syntactic options (Rickford, 1992: 224). A creole, in the insofar as it has fewer words, less morphology, and a more restricted range of languages of its users and is typically simpler than those native languages enslavement, or migration. A pidgin usually combines elements of the native peated or extended contacts with each other, for instance, through trade, communication between speakers of two or more languages who have relanguage contact. A pidgin is sharply restricted in social role, used for limited Pidgins and creoles are new varieties of language generated in situations of

We will expand and elaborate on these definitions in sections 2 and 3 below, but we should ask first why pidgins and creoles should be of interest to sociolinguistics. One answer is that these languages compel attention to their social histories and to the embedding of languages in their social contexts, even more so than ordinary languages do (Rickford, 1987: 52). As Hymes (1971: 5) puts it, "the processes of pidginization and creolization . . . seem to represent the extreme to which social factors can go in shaping the transmission and use

of language." The pages of the Journal of Pidgin and Creole Languages are filled with argumentation about the sociohistorical matrices of pidginization and creolization (see, for instance, Baker, 1991a; Singler, 1986, 1992; Bickerton, 1992, 1994; Bruyn and Veenstra, 1993; McWhorter, 1994). There is simply no other area of sociolinguistics in which sociohistorical issues are raised so repeatedly and with such vigor.

A second, related answer is that these languages have served and continue to serve as data sources and testing grounds for models of sociolinguistic variation and change, for instance, the concept of diglossia (Ferguson, 1959; Winford, 1985; Valdman 1988), the quantitative and implicational paradigms (Bickerton, 1971; DeCamp, 1971a; Labov, 1971; Rickford, 1979; Winford, 1980), the sociopsychological "acts of identity" model (Edwards, 1983; Le Page and Tabouret-Keller, 1985), and sociolinguistic theories of language change (Romaine, 1988a). As De Rooij (1995: 53) observes, "For the student of pidgin and creole languages, there is no escape from the problem of variation." Far from wanting to escape it, researchers interested in the study of sociolinguistic variation, multilingualism, and code-switching are often attracted to pidgin- and creole-speaking communities for the opportunities they offer to study these topics and related ones, such as the relation of language to social class, power, and identity (Rickford, 1986; Morgan, 1994).

A third answer is that these languages exemplify in acute form many of the issues with which applied sociolinguistics and language planning are concerned, including the question of whether local vernaculars can be used as instruments of social integration and political liberation (Searle, 1984; Devonish, 1986), and the challenges of orthography, corpus development, and status planning required to make them into official or national languages (Baker, 1991b; Carrington, 1993; Romaine, 1994a; Alleyne, 1994; Winford, 1994). Less ambitiously but no less importantly, pidgins and creoles offer us opportunities to draw on as well as contribute to macro-sociolinguistics via such topics as the emergence of vernacular literatures (Voorhoeve and Lichtveld, 1975; Barbag-Stoll, 1983; Braithwaite, 1984; Roberts, 1988; Adamson and van Rossem, 1995), the nature and effects of language attitudes (Rickford and Traugott, 1985), and the question of whether these varieties can be taken into account in improved methods of teaching children to read and write in school or in combating adult illiteracy (Cassidy, 1970; Craig, 1971, 1980; Sato, 1985; Romaine, 1992; Watson-Gegeo, 1994).

Finally, pidgin creole studies has what may be described as a "fractious energy" which contemporary sociolinguistics seems to lack. Creolists are constantly arguing about theories and subtheories – sometimes too snidely to be sure, but in a way that makes every conference and every issue of the Journal of Pidgin and Creole Languages exciting, and that constantly spawns new research. Readers will notice that even the co-authors of this paper disagree on some issues. Sociolinguistics could do with more of this energy.

### 2 Pidgins

### 2.1 Description

Pidgins have most commonly arisen as vehicles of trade between ethnic groups (e.g., Pidgin Yimas and other pidgins of Papua New Guinea); as linguae francae on plantations and in other multi-ethnic work situations (e.g., Fanakalo between the British and Zulus in the mines of Natal in South Africa); as linguae francae for multi-ethnic ship crews (e.g., Melanesian Pidgin English in Pacific trade of the early nineteenth century); and as languages of service (e.g., Tây Bôi between the French and their Vietnamese servants).

Structurally, pidgins are, as noted above, simpler than their source languages, particularly the language which provides the bulk of their lexicon. This is well exemplified by Russenorsk, a trade pidgin used by Norwegians and Russians in the nineteenth century.<sup>2</sup>

1 Russenorsk had a core lexical stock of 150 to 200 words (Broch and Jahr 1984: 30), unmarked for case, number, gender, or inflection: *moja snai* "I know," *Kristus snai* "Jesus knows."

2 There was a single preposition på used to encode a wide array of concepts: på moja stova "at my house," på Arkangel "to Archangel," sprek på moja "say to me," etc. (Fox, 1983: 56–7).

3 There was no expressed equative copula: eta ø samme slag "this is the same type" (p. 56).<sup>3</sup>

4 Subordination was expressed via juxtaposition: *Kristus grot vrei, tvoja ljugom* "Christ will be very angry if you lie" (Broch and Jahr, 1984: 31).

5 Limited lexical stock conditioned semantic extensions, such as the extension of *anner* "second" to the meaning of "next" as in på anner ar "next year" (Fox, 1983: 63), and reduplication, such as *morra-morradag* "the day after tomorrow" (Broch and Jahr, 1984: 37).

It must be noted, however, that relative simplicity cannot in itself be seen as diagnostic of pidginization. For example, we find limited morphology in Chinese as well as pidgins. One response to this conundrum has been to distinguish between simplification of outer form (i.e., morphosyntactic complexity) and simplification of inner form (i.e., lexical resources, semantic distinctions, pragmatic machinery). While languages like Chinese display simplification of outer form (lack of morphology), pidgins display this as well as simplification of inner form (constrained lexicon, limited semantic and pragmatic resources; see Hymes, 1971: 70). Pidgins can be further distinguished as being the only languages which combine simplification of inner form with two other factors: the combination of elements from different languages, and use by speakers of different native languages (Hymes, 1971).

Other types of simplified registers, such as foreigner talk (Ferguson, 1971) and

Finally, pidgins have traditionally been defined as being conventionalized or having relatively established norms of usage, in contrast to jargons (or *prepidgin continua*) which are more variable, and strongly affected by the native language of their users. *Gastarbeiterdeutsch* (guest-worker's German) is jargon-like insofar as it varies according to whether its speaker is Turkish or Greek (HFP, 1975: 167), but speakers of Chinook Jargon (a pidgin in the American Northwest) regularly negated sentences with a clause-initial marker even when their native language provided no model (Thomason, 1983: 853–5).

While Russenorsk is an example of a pidgin established between groups of relatively equal status, pidgins often emerge within contexts of asymmetrical social status. Social dominance can result from various factors, such as power, as in the case of the British plantation trade in Melanesia establishing Melanesian Pidgin English, or prestige within a trade context, as in the case of Pidgin Yimas, developed between the Yimas who supply fish and the Arafundi who supply the lesser-valued sago (Foley, 1988: 168). In some cases, social dominance falls to those who were the original inhabitants of the area the pidgin emerges in, as in the case of the pidgin Fijian used by the British in Fiji (Siegel, 1987: 69–73) at the same time that Melanesian Pidgin English was emerging in other contexts.

In such cases, most of the pidgin's lexical stock is derived from the language of the socially dominant (the *superstrate* language) while the language or languages of the socially subordinate (the *substrate* language(s)) have most of their effect upon its phonology, syntax, and semantics (although the substrate indeed makes lexical contributions and the superstrate has significant influence upon structure). Note, for example, the following passage in the dialect of Melanesian Pidgin English spoken in Papua New Guinea, Tok Pisin (Hall, 1966: 149):

[1] Nau wanfela master em i-kisim mi . . . nau ol master i-kik, i-kikim em. then one white-man he PM-get me then PL white-man PM-kick, PM-kick him "Then a white man took me . . . then the white men were kicking, they were kicking it."

Note that the lexicon is drawn from English, even though in many cases the function of an item in English has been re-analyzed or extended. However, much of the structure is drawn from the Eastern Oceanic languages spoken by the originators of Tok Pisin. For example, the *i* predicate marker [PM], the *-im* (*<him*) transitive marker, and *ol* (*<all*) a plural marker [PL] all reflect Eastern Oceanic rather than English structure (Keesing, 1988: 105–32).

### 2.2 Genesis

Pidgins owe their structure to the interaction of various phenomena related to language contact. The preliminary input for them may, in some cases, derive

viability of incorporating foreigner talk within an account of pidgin genesis. ters to arise on both the idiolectal and community levels demonstrates the citly attested. However, the observed sociolinguistic tendency for such registalk model, and indeed, such established registers are only occasionally explirule out the possibility that pidgins can arise without an established foreigner Chinook Jargon for use in trade (Hymes, 1980). There is no a priori reason to native speakers acquiring their language, which led to the establishment of 4). For example, the Chinook Amerindians were explicitly opposed to nonreflection of elevated status or distinctness from foreigners (Foley, 1988: 163part of the speakers to reserve the use of the full language for themselves, as a arrival in Fiji. Often, the establishment of such registers reflects a desire on the outsiders, and it was this register that the British were expected to learn upon register of their language with established norms of its own for use with Such registers were pivotal in the emergence of pidgins such as Pidgin Fijian utilized by members of a community when communicating with outsiders. domain into development as an established register regularly acquired and other function words. This practice has often passed from the individual enunciation, uninflected forms, and the omission of articles, prepositions, and common if not universal simplification tendencies such as slow, exaggerated (Siegel, 1987) and Chinook Jargon (Thomason, 1983). Fijians established a people attempting to communicate in their language with foreigners use from foreigner talk registers. As Ferguson and DeBose (1977: 104) show

oversimplified but heuristically useful characterization of pidgins would be register of their own grammars to the emerging pidgin, as documented in the that the substrate speakers can be thought to contribute a foreigner talk and structural features derived from the substrate languages. Note, however that they result from the interaction between superstrate-based foreigner talk namese substrate (Phillips, 1975: 164-71). Thomason and Kaufman (1988: Melanesian Pidgin English case by Keesing (1988: 89–104). illustrate are only explicable by reference to their different substrates. An guages, arguing that the diverse marked features which these languages Kituba, Hiri Motu, Bislama, and Chinese Pidgin Russian, among other lan-181-94) provide additional examples of such transfer from Chinook Jargon, serial verb constructions in Tây Bôi Pidgin French, derived from the Vietsalient determiners of pidgin structure. One example beyond Tok Pisin is the Furthermore, as we have seen above in Tok Pisin, substrate features are

# 2.3 Pidginization and simplification as a cline

selves quite different in terms of the size of their lexicon, the complexity of their structure, and their historical trajectories) should not be taken to indicate Our reference to prototypical pidgins like Russenorsk and Tok Pisin (themtion manifests itself in degrees, as do most language contact phenomena, such that the distinction between full languages and pidgins is binary. Pidginiza-

> superstrate and substrate languages.4 originators of deeper pidgins, or from close genetic relationship between acquisition, proceeds through cases of language shift such as Irish English and tween superstrate and substrate speakers than was the case between the vaster lexical stock. Such cases typically stem from either richer contact bepidgins, however, fall between Russenorsk and Yiddish along this cline, that pidginization can be seen as one end of a cline which begins with full therefore displaying more vigorous reflections of structural complexity, and a Yiddish, and culminates in pidgins like Russenorsk and Tok Pisin. Various

average morphology - in this case because its speakers shared a core of of instruction (Bhattachariya, 1994). Similarly, Kituba, a pidgin resulting from Nagas encountered Assamese not only as a trade language but as a language spoken by Austronesian speakers displays more Motu structure (Dutton languages is prototypically reduced along the lines of Tok Pisin, while that Motu. Hiri Motu exists in two dialects. That spoken by speakers of Papuan the case of Hiri Motu, the pidginized register of the Austronesian language genetic relatedness acts as a brake upon pidginization particularly clearly in regardless of its complexity to non-Kikongos (Mufwene, 1986). We see how grammatical structure which they could readily incorporate into a pidgin interaction between various dialects of Kikongo, also displays greater than inflection than most pidgins; this feature is due in part to the fact that the For example, the pidginized Assamese called Naga Pidgin displays more

### 2.4 Life-cycle issues

olization towards a lexifier. The elegance and renown of this formulation have offered by Hall (1966), in which they were couched as the initial stage in a however, just as commonly encountered are stasis and death. pidgin in fact may experience. Creolization is indeed one of the alternatives: had the effect, however, of obscuring the various alternative fates which a process which proceeded through creolization and ended in eventual decre-Pidgins figured in the classic formulation of the contact language life cycle

appropriate to equate the transformation of a pidgin into a creole not with accomplished by adults as well as by children. As such, it is perhaps more that the language develops via heavy usage in a wide variety of contexts, creole is sometimes achieved via general expansion of social domain, such However, research demonstrates that the transformation of a pidgin into a zation is equated with nativization (adoption as a first language by children). definition, as well as that of many scholars of contact languages today, creolithe transformation of an erstwhile pidgin into a full language. Under Hall's nativization, but with expansion through extension in social role (Hymes Creolization is associated with expansion of structural form, the result being

pidgins are essentially indistinguishable from creoles in their level of structusuch as Nigerian Pidgin English. What is significant is that these expanded applied to the only recently nativized English-based pidgins of West Africa bedding structures, and extensive development in the lexicon. This has led creole, including grammaticalized markers of tense, mood, and aspect, emguage have been relatively minor, and in the meantime, even before its adop-Tok Pisin to be often described as an expanded pidgin, a designation also tion as a native language, Tok Pisin displayed structure as elaborated as any adopted as a first language over the past few decades, Sankoff (1979) and this stage. While one of the dialects of this language, Tok Pisin, has been sea cucumber trades; he ascribes a relatively negligible presence to children at Romaine (1988b: 68, 304) argue that the effects of nativization upon the lanemerged as a jargon used by multi-ethnic ship crews on whaling ships in the lingua franca among multi-ethnic plantation workers in the sandalwood and Pacific in the early nineteenth century and then was transformed into the For example, Keesing (1988) demonstrates that Melanesian Pidgin English

cation (so-called "tertiary hybridization") has, however, been disputed (see Thomason and Kaufman, 1988: 196-7). when speakers of two or more languages use another language for communilated suggestion that contact only results in the creation of stable pidgins between the Chinese and the British (Whinnom, 1971: 104). Whinnom's resamese. Similarly, Chinese Pidgin English only acquired a degree of fluency languages than to its relatively constrained use between Nagas and the Aswhen used between speakers of unintelligible dialects of Chinese, rather than Pidgin can be ascribed more to its use among speakers of various Naga linguistically narrow variety. For example, the expanded character of Naga strate communication will often take place within contexts of a rather socioand substrate speakers, that sparks expansion, given that superstrate-submutually unintelligible substrate languages, rather than between superstrate ral complexity, and that manipulation by adults has effected that complexity. It has been more specifically argued that it is high usage among speakers of

a shipboard lingua franca, was adopted as a plantation language, and has finally become the reigning language of the inter-ethnic city context, associurban centers as a lingua franca used in business, education, and the military natively spoken there (Dutton, 1985). Similarly, Lingala emerged as a trade omic development and integration, despite the fact that Motu itself was not (Knappert, 1979). We have also seen how Melanesian Pidgin English began as pidgin used between speakers of a few closely related Bantu languages along the interior, where it spread because of its association with high status, econarrival of Europeans, and went on to be spread by the native police force into register of Motu used in trade with subordinate groups along the Gulf of the Congo River in Central Africa, but has long since been established in Papua, became the general lingua franca of the Port Moresby area with the tic contexts in the process of expansion. For example, Hiri Motu began as a Pidgins also frequently pass through various geographical and sociolinguis-

> variety of interactions which a pidgin language can have with its sociological creolization life cycle formulation, while useful, tends to obscure the rich ated with education and achievement. Thus we see that the pidgin-creole-de-

ones above demonstrate that a pidgin only expands in response to sociological unwittingly gives an impression of inevitability; however, cases such as the many persist in pidgin form over long periods of time. Russenorsk, for pidgins remain reduced but functional trade vehicles. motivations licensing such expansion. In the absence of such motivation, example, showed no signs of expanding significantly: Trade was consistently pidginized Eleman and Koriki in Papua New Guinea experienced little signi-(Broch and Jahr, 1984: 55). Similarly, pidgins such as Chinook Jargon and vigorous, but nevertheless there was no need for the adoption of a trade ficant expansion during their long lifetimes. The Hall formulation perhaps language as a primary one, although it was sometimes acquired at an early age While many pidgins undergo the types of expansion discussed above, just as

community, became associated with the fishermen in particular, and looked coup de grâce was delivered by the incursion of the large-scale cash trade in the eliminated in favor of pidginized Town Bemba or CiBemba in Zambia (Holm association with white racism, Fanakalo, a pidginized Zulu, is being down upon as inferior to the actual Russian spoken by the merchants. The the past. As a result, Russenorsk, previously spoken by all levels of the trade competence in Russian because of longer stays in Russia than had obtained in to exist. For example, after 1850, Norwegian merchants began acquiring fuller go language death when the sociological motivations for their existence cease 55–8). Attitudinal factors can also spell the death of a pidgin. Because of its for the old barter trade, the last bastion of Russenorsk (Broch and Jahr, 1984: first decades of the twentieth century, which eventually eliminated the need Finally, most pidgins which do not experience expansion eventually under-

established plantation colonies from the fifteenth through nineteenth censpeaking unintelligible languages - a need which can arise almost anywhere all possible climates. Pidgins appear to represent a universal and common languages tend to cluster in tropical locations where the European powers tive may well be an artifact of the monolingual Western perspective, given as an "extreme" example of language restructuring. However, this perspechuman response to the need for constrained communication between groups turies, pidgins have been documented on all natively inhabited continents in that pidginization has been exceedingly common worldwide. While creole Pidginization tends to be treated as an "exotic" phenomenon in the literature,

The sheer ordinariness of pidginization becomes clearer when we note various pidgins which are only scantily documented in the literature, such as the wide variety of Indo-Aryan pidgins in India, or the innumerable pidgins as yet undocumented in Papua New Guinea, the most linguistically diverse area in the world. This conception is further reinforced by an awareness that countless pidgins have been lost to history; for example, in the eighteenth century, Scandinavia was host to various trade pidgins such as Borgarmålet, a Swedish-Lappish hybrid (Broch and Jahr, 1984: 51).

## 3 Creole Languages

## 3.1 Creole features and subtypes

Creole English (HCE) belongs in this category. norm rather than the exception; but unlike them, he believes that Hawaiian man (1988), Bickerton (1988: 272) believes that early creolized creoles are the and several islands in the Indian Ocean" (p. 148). Like Thomason and Kaufarose in the context of the European slave trade in Africa, the Caribbean area, contact vernacular is at a rudimentary and variable pre-pidgin or jargon stage having applied to many of the world's known creoles, including "creoles that process which produces such creoles as abrupt creolization, and they see it as quickly after the initial contact situation (within a generation), so that the and/or become the primary languages of their speech community fairly cases of early creolized creoles, that is, creoles which acquire native speakers when creolization takes place. Thomason and Kaufman (1988) refer to the 1981: 3-4; Romaine, 1988b: 68, 304). However, the differences are clearer in zation takes place - as with New Guinea Tok Pisin - (Sankoff, 1979; Bickerton, has stabilized and become the primary language of its speakers before nativistages are not so evident if the pidgin has been in existence for a long time and borated than pidgins. The differences between the "pidgin" and "creole" As mentioned above, creoles are usually more complex and structurally ela-

Some of the features shared by creoles but lacking in (rudimentary, early stage) pidgins like Hawaiian Pidgin English include:<sup>7</sup>

- 1 Movement rules. For instance, the Guyanese Creole [GC] sentence Jan bin sii wan uman "John saw a woman" can be realized as a Jan bin sii wan uman to focus the subject, a wan uman Jan bin sii to focus the object, and a sii Jan bin sii wan uman to focus the verb (Bickerton, 1981: 52).
- 2 An article system (p. 56) which distinguishes between definite noun phrases (GC *di buk* "the book"), indefinites (GC *wan buk* "a particular book"), and nonspecifics (GC *buk* "books").
- 3 The encoding of such tense, modality, and aspect distinctions as anterior, irrealis, and punctual by invariant, preverbal markers, for in-

stance, bin, go and a respectively in GC, te, ava and ape respectively in Haitian Creole [HC] (p. 59).

Facilities for relativization and other complex sentence embeddings, with or without a relative pronoun [RP], e.g., GC bo mi granfada bin ga wan ool boot, [ø RP] bin ton dong batam wan big manggo chrii [ø RP] bin de rait a head a di biling "But my grandfather had an old boat [which was] turned down underneath a big mango tree [that] was right in front of the building" (Irene, quoted in Rickford, 1987: 148).

other fort creoles, while the West African hired hands (grumettoes) and "castle isolation which maroonage provides (Price, 1973; Alleyne, 1986; Arends distinctive non-European features may be due in part to the relative in Suriname, South America, is the best-known maroon creole. Its munities, usually in inland and relatively inaccessible areas. Saramaccan away slaves who escaped from slavery and set up their own commaroon creoles are those spoken among descendants of maroons or run-(Singler, 1990a, 1993; Arends, 1995; Rickford and Handler, 1995). Finally, it has been the source of considerable new research in recent years place therein is not in itself a new topic (cf. Alleyne, 1971; Baker, 1982), but the processes of pidgin-creole creation or development which took demographics and social structure of such plantation communities and were brought from other parts of the world. The relation between the Oceans to which ethnically diverse groups of slaves or indentured laborers developed on (primarily sugar) plantations in the Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian as their names imply, are those which are assumed to have been created or New World (Goodman, 1987; Rickford, 1987: 46-51, 53-6). Plantation creoles, slaves" most familiar with such creoles were least likely to have gone to the the "sale slaves" who reached the New World did NOT know GCCE or any plantation but the West African forts from which most slaves came is a crucial sociohistorical crucible for New World creoles was not the New World the New World might be attributable to this fact. The assumption that the McWhorter (1995a) has surmised that the rarity of Spanish-based creoles in contrast, the Spanish did not have such West African settlements, and turn became the source of many of the Caribbean English-based creoles. By suggested that they spawned a Guinea Coast Creole English (GCCE) which in English, like the Portuguese, had several such forts, and Hancock (1986) has and local Africans working in the forts or assisting in the slave trade." The between the sixteenth and nineteenth centuries, primarily between Europeans developed in and around the European outposts on the West African coast creoles, and maroon creoles. Fort creoles refer to contact vernaculars which (Bickerton, 1988: 269-70, Arends, 1995: 16-17) between fort creoles, plantation fascinating but not unproblematic one; there is reason to believe that most of Besides early and late creolized creoles, distinctions have also been drawn

### Theories of origin

nor monogenetic - have dominated the literature. decades, discussions of the bioprogram theory - which is neither polygenetic sume that most varieties are derived from one or a small number of ancestors a lesser extent their relatively simplified and mixed characters; cf. Muysken, which subsequently diffused or spread to other locations. But for the past two different times and in different places, and monogenetic theories, which aspolygenetic theories, which assume that most varieties arose independently at how these languages arose, or more specifically, how their similarities (and to One of the oldest and most hotly contested issues in pidgin-creole studies is 1988: 285-6) are to be explained. Originally, the competition was between

challenge - if these varieties are independent creations, how are their simicreoles which might cause them to develop in parallel ways. point to one or more factors in the contact situations that create pidgins and larities to be explained? The basic strategy which polygeneticists adopt is to world's pidgins and creoles is historically related. But they face this recurrent plicable and not requiring the implausible assumption that every one of the Polygenetic theories have the potential attraction of being universally ap-

quisition (see below). Sankoff (1980) is a multifaceted collection of articles, exposition of the baby talk theory, one which recognizes both superstrate and and Veenstra (1995: 128). But one searches in vain in Hall and Sankoff for any quite diverse and as yet not sufficiently well known. search will eventually yield more fully developed functionalist theories of below). While one might hope, from a sociolinguist's perspective, that rebut primarily in recognizing the possible contributions of foreigner talk (see slave plantations imposed similar communicative requirements" attributed to course; but none of them articulates the "strictly functionalist perspective: the including pioneering discussions of grammaticalization in relation to diswell developed functionalist theory of this type. Hall (1966) is essentially an theory attributed to Sankoff (1980) by Muysken (1988: 286-7) and Muysken so-called Independent Parallel Development theory attributed to Hall (1966) by larities among trade and plantation situations whose details are on reflection pidgin-creole genesis, we should be wary of overstating the contextual simifunctionalist perspective which the names of these theories seem to suggest, them by Muysken (1988: 287). Foley (1988: 164) comes closer to providing the (some) substrate influence in the context of imperfect second language ac-Todd (1974: 31) and Romaine (1988b: 92-102) and the Common Social Context parallel social contexts in which pidgins and creoles arise and the parallel functions they are required to serve. This is indeed a component of the One such factor - and one of tremendous interest to sociolinguists - is the

deliberately simplified their language to facilitate its understanding and acpolygenesis is the process by which speakers of the superstrate might have For Bloomfield (1933: 472-3), as for Hall (1966: 5), the parallel factor in

> could result in pidgins and creoles with so many striking structural simiof different superstrate languages (English, French, Portuguese, Chinook) served to legitimize and rescue the baby talk theory somewhat. But the baby notion that there are widespread if not universal patterns of foreigner talk some of the lexical items (e.g., kapsaiz "turn over", hais "lift") found in many an international jargon developed and spread by ship's crews - could explain larities. The nautical jargon theory - that pidgins and creoles are outgrowths of problem for it was to explain how separate acts of simplification by speakers prehension by children) was both simplistic and racist. 10 But an even bigger (so called because adults produce similar simplifications to facilitate comquisition by substrate speakers. In its initial formulations, this baby talk theory usually unintelligible to uninitiated speakers of the superstrate, and the unpidgin-creole varieties (Whinnom, 1971), the fact that pidgins and creoles are rather than superstrate speakers who are the main creators and users of talk theory has other weaknesses, including the fact that it is often substrate tional reduction processes similar to those found in pidgins and creoles 11 - has (Ferguson, 1971; Ferguson and DeBose, 1977) – and that these involve conven-(European-based) pidgins and creoles, but not their structural similarity. The lification. As Taylor (1963: 810) has noted: likelihood that central pidgin-creole features resulted from deliberate simp-

of those found in French or English . . . these characteristics, though shared by many West African and other non-creole languages, would hardly suggest them-Haitian Creole, and Sranan] cannot be explained as reduced or corrupt versions the predicative systems of these three creole languages [Martinique Creole, selves to a Western European seeking to simplify his own speech.

account for differences among pidgins with respect to their inclusion of univer-94) argue, in fact, that only a perspective which assumes that both superstrate ethnic communication (Baker, 1990: 111). Thomason and Kaufman (1988: 174acquire a target language, rather than attempts to create a medium for interproduce similar kinds of interlanguage (or, to use Ferguson and DeBose's less the parallel factor as the set of linguistic, cognitive and other factors which speakers, one might regard their creation of pidgins (at least) as the product of of origin. If one focuses on the substrate speakers rather than the superstrate (1992), and others have argued that Kwa and other West African languages Alleyne (1980a), Boretzky (1983), Lefebvre (1986), Holm (1986), McWhorter single substrate can account for all of the world's pidgins and creoles, but sally marked features, like the presence of duals and trials in the pronouns of and substrate speakers were involved in mutual linguistic accommodation can recently, however, about whether pidginization really involves attempts to felicitous term, "broken language"). Considerable doubt has been expressed limited second language acquisition (Bickerton, 1977; Andersen, 1983), seeing Bislama and Tok Pisin, a feature of the Austronesian substrate. Ot course, no In these latter objections lie the kernels of alternative polygenetic theories

are sufficiently similar with respect to serial verb constructions and other features found in Saramaccan, Haitian, and other Caribbean creoles spoken by African-derived populations for substrate influence to be the most plausible explanation. This theory, referred to as Afro-genesis by Muysken (1988), has been attacked by Bickerton (1984, 1994) and by Muysken and Smith (1995) for failing to account adequately for differences between possible West African source languages with respect to putative substrate features, for failing to provide a scenario for the transmission of substrate features into the emerging creole, and for failing to explain why some features of the substrate but not others were incorporated in the derived creoles. However, the debate on these issues is far from closed, as articles in the Journal of Pidgin Creole Linguistics will attest. Afro-genesis is itself a subvariety of substratist theories, and such theories have received a big boost from the work of Keesing (1988) on the Oceanic substrate in Melanesian Pidgin English.

numbers of them to influence the development of English in various parts of spoken by significant numbers of "sale slaves" and transported by sufficient above, one key question is whether GCCE or any similar entity was in fact of a putative Guinea Coast Creole English (GCCE) which developed on the again and again. The other monogenetic theory is restricted in scope to the all human conceptual and cultural activities, happened only once, rather than since fallen into disfavor, partly because it is patently inapplicable to many excitement in the 1960s and 1970s for several reasons, including its historical evolved from a Portuguese-West African base) produced a great deal of tion hypothesis (required to explain how French, English, and other varieties developed in the course of fifteenth- and sixteenth-century contacts between world's pidgins and creoles are derived from a Portuguese contact language the Caribbean. Rickford (1987) is skeptical, but McWhorter (1995b) is not West African coast in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. As noted English-based pidgins and creoles, which Hancock (1986) sees as descendants (1995: 88) consider "irrational" - that pidginization and creolization, alone of Portuguese) and because it makes an assumption which den Besten and others pidgins and creoles (those that had no direct or indirect contact with the linking of widely separated Atlantic and Pacific varieties. 13 However, it has lingua franca of the Mediterranean. This theory, and its associated relexifica-Portuguese and West Africans, perhaps itself related to Sabir, the medieval two varieties. The first is a broad scope variety which suggests that many of the Monogenetic theories, unlike their polygenetic counterparts, come in only

The theory which has in fact dominated discussions of creole genesis since the 1980s is Bickerton's (1981, 1984, 1986) Language Bioprogram Hypothesis (LBH), which views creoles as inventions of the first generations of children who acquire them natively. According to Bickerton, children who were born into contact situations where rudimentary pidgins or jargons were spoken drew on a species-specific bioprogram to transform them into the early creolized creoles evident in Hawaii, Jamaica, Haiti, and the Sudan. On the face of it this is a polygenetic theory, since it posits independent creation in the

separate places in which creole languages developed (note that this hypothesis is strictly limited to creole rather than pidgin origins). But in a sense it is simultaneously a monogenetic theory, insofar as it sees the development of these creoles as guided by a single linguistic bioprogram which is common to all human beings. In any event, the LBH is so different from traditional polygenetic and monogenetic theories that it defies categorization in their terms.

early effects of the bioprogram - often includes features found in creoles. and HCE differ - movement rules, TMA markers, and so on (see above) - are raised in Hawaii thereafter, and the fact that the very features in which HPE rudimentary HPE spoken by Japanese and Filipino immigrants who arrived the bioprogram to expand it. adults who have passed the relevant development stage - they had access to dimentary rather than a full-fledged one, and the fact that as children - unlike born into a situation in which the language they had to acquire was a ru-But their exceptional nature would have been due to the fact that they were children would have had more expertise in the language than their parents quite unusual in terms of first language acquisition worldwide, insofar as the According to the LBH, the first creole-speaking children would have been children in non-creole-speaking communities - supposedly representing the presence in the creoles. A third bit of evidence is the fact that the language of monogenesis and most varieties of polygenesis as likely explanations for their fact that the HPE-speaking immigrants do not use the features rules out do not contain the features indicates that they did not provide them, and the fact that the lexical source languages (English, French, Dutch) of these creoles those found in creoles from a variety of different lexical bases elsewhere. The between 1900 and 1920 and the expanded HCE spoken by people born and Evidence in favor of the bioprogram includes the contrasts between the

a number of creoles has also been challenged (cf. papers in Singler, 1990b). McWhorter, 1993, 1994; see note 6). Bickerton's analysis of the TMA system in waiian as a lingua franca in the late nineteenth century (Goodman, 1985, developed English-based pidgin which replaced the earlier pidginized Haprogenitor of HCE, but that the latter had its roots in an older and more fully ent argument is that the HPE documented by Bickerton was not the real (Mufwene, 1984), nor for late creolized varieties like Tok Pisin. A quite differinsofar as it does not account for non-European-based varieties like Lingala was the fact that the LBH is not a comprehensive theory of creole genesis suggest that nativization might have taken a long time to be accomplished son and Kaufman, 1988: 163-5). Singler (1986, 1992) was also the first to than seems likely (Alleyne, 1980b, 1986; Goodman, 1985; Holm, 1986; Thomaof the first creolizing children and less influence of the substrate languages criticism is that Bickerton's LBH scenario posits a smaller role for the parents perhaps less likely to have been the product of a single bioprogram. Another with the creoles looking somewhat less uniform than he had suggested and because of the low birth and survival rates and the high death rates in many Arguments against the bioprogram have been varied. 14 One of the earliest

McWhorter, 1992; Arends, 1995; Arends and Bruyn, 1995). was the crucial milestone in their genesis (Carden and Stewart, 1988 short time-span LBH requires, and that stabilization rather than nativization view that many creoles developed over a long period of time rather than in the been introduced in recent years in support of the gradualism hypothesis - the plantation communities, with the implication that locally born children might Bickerton's LBH scenario. Both demographic and linguistic evidence have have had a much smaller role in the creation of plantation creoles than in

sharply divided. as evidence for an innate "bioprogram," and how much of a role they attribute to one or the other of these key elements are issues on which they remain tributions in Muysken and Smith, 1986). But whether they regard the former probably fair to say that most creolists see some role both for universals and (Thomason, 1992; Singler, 1992; McWhorter, 1994; Arends 1995), contributing been far from silent, airing his rebuttals and clarifications principally in the pages of the *Journal of Pidgin and Creole Studies* (e.g., 1987, 1991, 1992, 1994). for substrate influence in creole genesis (cf. Mufwene, 1986, and other conto the "fractious energy" of the field to which we alluded earlier. Overall, it is Bickerton's critics have invariably responded with rejoinders of their own In the face of these criticisms of and questions about the LBH, Bickerton has

# 3.3 The creole continuum and decreolization

them, as illustrated by Allsopp's (1958) list of alternative ways of saying "I there exists a continuum of intermediate varieties (or "mesolects") between "basilect") coexists with a lexically related standard language (or "acrolect"), told him" in Guyana (cf. Bickerton, 1975: 9–14 for discussion): In some communities (e.g., Guyana, Hawaii, Jamaica) in which a creole (or

tion of the standard, producing the intermediate mesolects in the process opportunity and motivation to decreolize or modify their speech in the direcstandard existed. Over time, on this account, creole speakers gained greater later developments from an earlier situation in which only the creole and the The traditional account of such continua (DeCamp, 1971a) is that they are

> onies were initially outnumbered by Europeans and would have had more start. And Bickerton (1986: 226), noting that the earliest Africans in the colvs African-born) might have led to continuum-like variability right from the instance, house slaves vs field slaves, old hands vs new arrivals, locally born experiences and attitudes of different groups of slaves in the colonies (for ramid of slave society slowly formed itself." (On this point, see also Baker, exposure to the superstrate, suggested that the creole continuum "must have relatively invariant creole as starting point, and insofar as its existence is notion of decreolization, particularly insofar as it assumes a monolithic and Challenging this view, Alleyne (1971) suggested that differences in the social inferred from synchronic rather than diachronic evidence. 1991a: 267, 277.) Mufwene (1988, 1989) is also strongly skeptical about the formed 'backwards' . . . acrolect first, then mesolect, then basilect, as the py-

that are structurally reminiscent of the points along a decreolizing creole continuum (Schumann and Stauble, 1983; Rickford, 1987: 34). Second, while the current basilects, mesolect(s), and acrolects might have come into being one, two, or three hundred years ago, 15 there is little doubt that quantitative ually and via a series of interlanguage stages - basilang, mesolang, acrolang who acquired acrolectal varieties of English are likely to have done so gradassuming that they were produced by processes of language learning and shift centuries for the Caribbean, in the nineteenth century for Hawaii) while still mediate varieties. In a polygenetic model, however, decreolizing speakers decreolizing speakers would be seen as adopting or acquiring existing interonce - whether in the seventeenth century or the nineteenth - and subsequent monogenetic model, the creation of the continuum would have occurred only current applicability to continuum situations would remain very strong. In a to as a polygenetic rather than a monogenetic model of decreolization, its if we adopt what I have elsewhere (Rickford, 1979: 411-13, 1987: 34-5) referred for Trinidad and Tobago, Rickford and Handler, 1994, for Barbados). Finally, longer evident - has clearly occurred in some communities (cf. Winer, 1993: 6, sense - in which basilectal features and varieties historically attested are no 1980a: 192-4; Rickford, 1983: 300ff.). Third, decreolization in the qualitative control mesolectal (if not acrolectal) varieties has been increasing (Alleyne, basilectal speakers has been declining and the proportion of speakers who decreolization has occurred in the interim, in the sense that the proportion of which we might regard as "decreolization." For one thing, even house slaves been variable almost from their inception (in the sixteenth and seventeenth end-points and are motivated by similar sociolinguistic considerations so before them because they are moving between similar starting points and shift from basilect to acrolect, retracing paths similar to those who have done would be seen as actively creating intermediate varieties as they attempt to However, one can agree that present-day continuum situations must have

cular English (AAVE) as a decreolized form of an earlier American plantation creole. Recent debate has moved beyond the polarized positions of creolists A related issue is whether it is possible to regard African-American Verna-

been a full-fledged creole (Holm, 1991; Mufwene, 1992; Winford 1992b). have been a semi-creole or had some partial creole influence without having mediate group of scholars who were stronger supporters of the creole hypotion hypothesis (Poplack and Sankoff, 1987; Tagliamonte and Poplack, 1988, thesis in earlier times but now have reservations, feeling that AAVE might 1991; Winford, 1992a; Rickford, forthcoming). But there is an interesting inter-Republic, or Liberia. Some of them are ardent opponents of the (de)creoliza-1994) and some of them ardent proponents (Baugh, 1980; Holm, 1984; Singler, from the African-American diaspora in places like Samaná in the Dominican tend to use quantitative and other variationist evidence and to draw on data (1971) who opposed it. Scholars who have considered the issue more recently position and dialectologists like Davis (1970) and McDavid and McDavid like Stewart (1967, 1968) and Dillard (1972) who favored the decreolization

Rickford (1987: 15-39), Carrington (1992), Alleyne (1994), Winford (1994), and unilinear - towards the acrolect - is correct. For discussion of these issues, see variation, and whether the assumption that continuum change is always ate consideration to the social, stylistic, and human dimensions of continuum seem to suggest, the issue of whether continuum analysts have given adequof whether they can be viewed as the products of decreolization. There is also De Rooij (1995). munities is either as continuous or unidimensional as continuum models the issue of whether synchronic variation in so-called continuum com-Controversies about creole continua involve more than the diachronic issue

### Conclusion

and Alleyne (1994) are good references to consult to pursue these issues educational, and economic - advantages and challenges which these been able to describe in as much detail the human - expressive, sociopolitical, which occupy scholars of pidgins, creoles, and creole continua. We have not contact. The study of these languages has been going on for over 200 years languages offer their language users. Devonish (1986), Romaine (1992), present, in somewhat greater detail, the synchronic and diachronic issues practical significance of these languages for sociolinguistics, and then to In this paper we have tried to sketch out the theoretical, methodological, and the inception of modern sociolinguistics itself (Ferguson, 1959; Hymes, 1971). (Magens, 1770), and sociolinguists have been interested in them almost from ways in which languages can be generated and shaped through language Pidgins and creoles are fascinating examples of the extent to which and the

of excitement and "fractious energy," one in which new discoveries are constantly being made and old ideas are constantly being challenged and over-The field of pidgin-creole studies is, as noted in our introduction, a field full

> turned. Sociolinguists should find in this field much from which they can learn, and much to which they can contribute.

### NOTES

- We wish to express our gratitude to absolving them of responsibility for version of this paper, while Derek Bickerton and Angela any errors it contains. Rickford for comments on an earlier
- derivable from both languages. words are from Norwegian, but på is moja, snai, eta, samme, tvoja; the other following words are from Russian: In the examples that follow, the
- Russian has no equative copula, but Norwegian does.
- 4 Compare Bickerton's (1984: 178) Singler (1990a) and modified by pidginization index, critiqued by Bickerton (1992).
- of Pidgin Yimas, combining See Foley (1988) for documentation creole studies before Foley's work. and virtually unknown in pidginelements of Yimas and Arafundi
- Thomason and Kaufman (1988: 352, a pre-existing nineteenth-century n 1) believe, as Goodman (1985), nineteenth-century Pacific English evidence has been presented to views, Rickford feeling that little authors of this article have different and Filipino immigrants discussed Pidgin English" (HPE) of Japanese more structured than the "Hawaiiar Pacific English pidgin which was 87-9) do, that HCE developed from Holm (1986), and McWhorter (1994: pidgin, and disagreeing with existence in Hawaii of a stable confirm the prior widespread However, on this issue the coin Bickerton (1981) and elsewhere.

- can be dismissed as a "halting... with the extensive demographic and English contact language which had second language register of an McWhorter that the HPE of in Hawaii compiled by Roberts Pidgin English, and Creole English development of Pidgin Hawaiian, textual evidence on the nature and Rickford is particularly impressed taken root long before their arrival." Japanese and Filipino immigrants (1995a, b) and Bickerton (1995).
- See Bickerton (1981: 51-72) for 12 such features in various creoles.
- Although they are generally to whom they were not a native natively, and they might have children of European/African most of their users, although the non-native varieties to many if not vernaculars must clearly have been referred to as creoles, these contact become primary languages for some unions may have learned them language.
- It should be noted that the people polygeneticist do not necessarily or consciously classify themselves as whose work we will classify as
- For instance, from Hall (1966: 5): that the native's incomplete efforts at conclude that it was useless to use practice, but to inherent mental were due, not to insufficient speaking the European's language 'good language' to the native, and inferiority. So the European would "The European . . . would assume

deliberately simplified version of it later realized that he was receiving a Guinea, where one European (Dutton, 1985). "delighted" in learning Motu, but missionary appears to have opposite was true in Papua New using it." Interestingly enough, the that this was the white man's real country. The aboriginal, not language and would delight in knowing any better, would assume mothers and nurses in his own baby-talk commonly used by the latter's incomplete speech, would reply to him in a replica of adding also some of the patterns of

11 See Foley, 1988: 165, table 1 for a convenient summary of simplifying processes and their results.

12 As Thomason and Kaufman (1988: 162) note: "the typological fit between numerous syntactic structures in Atlantic creoles and corresponding structures in most or all relevant Niger-Congo languages is surely too close to be accidental."
13 See Thompson, 1961, Whinnom,

1965, and other works cited in DeCamp, 1971b, and Todd, 1974.

14 It is striking that some of the best-known introductory texts on pidgins and creoles (e.g., Mühlhäusler, 1986) and even more recent introductory sociolinguistics texts (e.g., Wardhaugh, 1992, and Romaine, 1994b) do not include any arguments against the LBH.

available varieties in earlier periods This hypothesis assumes the some commentators (e.g., Gilman, D'Costa, 1990) is not as strong as (cf. Rickford, 1987; Lalla and existence of all the currently because of drift and other factors 1993: 151; McWhorter, 1995b) have Even so, it should be pointed out that of the seventeenth century, century would not be identical with decreolizing) language change in existence of normal (i.e., nonthat the textual evidence for the or standard variety of the twentieth the interim – that is, that the acrolect

## 15 Language Contact and Language Degeneration

# COLETTE GRINEVALD CRAIG

### 1 Introduction

Although the phenomenon of language death is as old as the recorded history of the languages of the world, its systematic study is a relatively new field of linguistics and sociolinguistics. A series of important publications clustered around the mid-eighties has confirmed its having become a recognized concern and field of study (Dressler, 1972; Dorian, 1981, 1989; Schmidt, 1985; Hill and Hill, 1986; Taylor, 1992, from an SSILA conference in 1985).

For linguists, the scientific interest of the process of language death resides in the fact that many obsolescent languages undergo structural changes, thereby offering more data for the study of the general process of language attrition, which itself should turn out to be telling of the nature of human languages in general. For sociolinguists, the interest resides more in the study of the causes and circumstances of language death, a topic addressed in Brenzinger's contribution (see chapter 16).

N MAN AND

The linguistic documentation of dying languages is sometimes labelled as "salvage linguistics." This type of research raises issues of fieldwork methodology, in that standard quantitative studies may be severely constrained by the very nature of the situation and qualitative studies require sensitivity to the particular relation of the last speakers towards their stigmatized obsolescent language. In addition, fieldwork on obsolescent languages raises all the questions of ethics inherent to research on marginalized and dominated populations, issues which are best addressed before and monitored during the time of fieldwork. Work on endangered languages also raises the issue of the position of academics towards efforts aimed at counteracting the process of language death, including their role in language preservation and language revitalization projects.